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The Conference Board

- A global, independent research and membership organization working in the public interest
  - ... global aspect of organization now paramount
- The Conference Board’s research program centers on economic measurement and analysis of comparative performance.
  - ... the comparative study of productivity, technology and innovation is a key core capability
Total Economy Database (TED)

- TED -- data on productivity performance
- TED covers 123 countries, or 97 percent of the world’s population and 99 percent of world GDP
  - Data on GDP, employment, hours, and labor productivity from 1950 on
  - Recognizing that decision makers need timely information, current year is estimated/projected, issued twice a year (Jan. & Sep.)
  - Data on total factor productivity (sources of growth) from 1980 to 2008
  - Publicly accessible from Conference Board website
TED is a product of cross-Atlantic partnerships

- **The labor productivity module was**
  - Developed at University of Groningen in the early 1990s
  - Produced in partnership with the Conference Board beginning in the late 1990s
  - Transferred to the Conference Board in 2007

- **The sources of growth module integrates**
  - The EU-KLEMS database, developed with EC funding
  - The world productivity database produced by Dale Jorgenson and Khuong Vu of Harvard University
PERSPECTIVES ON COINVEST:
Measuring Intangible Investment in European Countries

European Commission Project funded under the Socio-economic Sciences and Humanities theme
Intangibles, innovation, and productivity

- Traditional capital estimates are understated b/c many of the **inputs** to innovation are not counted as investment
  - “any use of resources today designed to increase the productive capacity of the firm in the future is investment.” Corrado, Hulten, and Sichel (2006).

- Implementing the CHS “economic” view of investment would modernize the portrayal of business activity in national accounting systems

- …. and provide policy-makers with a better tool for analysis.
  - e.g., Apple’s expenses on design and branding of a new product are business investment from an economic point of view.
When firms commit to innovation…

- The evidence of commitment is an **allocation of resources** to developing and implementing:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. New products</th>
<th>3. New marketing methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. New production or distribution processes</td>
<td>4. New methods for organizing and executing business practices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Oslo Manual

- … i.e., akin the “economic” view of macroeconomic investment and capital in CHS.
Inputs to Innovation include more than ICT, Intangible investments include more than R&D, IPRs cover more than patents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Broad category</th>
<th>Type of Investment</th>
<th>Type of Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Computerized Information | • Software development  
                           | • Database development                                                            | IPRs            |
| Innovative Property   | • R&D  
                           | • Mineral exploration  
                           | Forms of IPRs:  
                           | • Patent  
                           | • License  
                           | • Copyright  
                           | • Design IPR  
                           | • Trademark |
| Economic Competencies | • Market research & advertising  
                           | • Business process investment  
                           | Strategic Capital  
                           | • Training & skill development                                                  |
The U.S. intangible investment rate overtook the tangible rate in the mid-1990s.

Source: Corrado and Hulten (2010) update of CHS.
Some countries spend more (or nearly as much) on intangible capital than they do on tangible capital.
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The composition of intangible investment across countries varies substantially.

Intangible investment in the market sector

Percent of GDP, 2006

- **US**
- **UK**
- **France**
- **Denmark**
- **Germany**
- **Austria**
- **Czech Rep.**
- **Spain**
- **Italy**
- **Slovak Rep.**
- **Greece**

**Computerized info.**  **Innovative property**  **Economic competencies**
Intangible Investments are key to supporting innovation and productivity growth

Contribution of Intangible Assets to the Growth in Labor Productivity, Market Sector, 1995-2006

Percentage points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Economic Competencies</th>
<th>Innovative Property</th>
<th>Computerized Info.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>.6%</td>
<td>.4%</td>
<td>.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>.6%</td>
<td>.5%</td>
<td>.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>.4%</td>
<td>.6%</td>
<td>.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>.2%</td>
<td>.6%</td>
<td>.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>.1%</td>
<td>.4%</td>
<td>.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>.0%</td>
<td>.3%</td>
<td>.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next steps

- For the analysis of intangibles
- For the generation of data and findings needed for science and innovation policy
Higher quality data needed by policy-makers (1)

- R&D will soon be counted in investment and GDP. What price deflator should be used?
  - In work to be branded under COINVEST, an experimental index for private R&D in the UK falls 5 percent per year between 1981 to 2005.*

- The intangible investment framework
  - sets R&D and patents in macroeconomic context
  - … and implies that to supply policymakers with convincing evidence on promoting innovation and growth, we must fill gaps in our knowledge of the prevalence and pattern of innovation co-investments

* Corrado, Goodridge, and Haskel (2010), paper prepared for the CRIW workshop at the 2010 NBER Summer Institute workshop.
Higher quality data needed by policy-makers (2)

- Increase the survey content of intangible investment measures
  - A natural extension of existing R&D and Innovation surveys
- Further develop the micro-data evidence base
  - Micro evidence on the returns to investments in new financial services products and services is being studied (think long-term care insurance, not credit derivatives!) with support from the NSF SciSIP program
  - Pay more attention to public intangibles
Science and Innovation Policy

While the intangibles framework provides macro-aggregates useful for public dissemination, it does not provide answers to many topics that are important for understanding the dynamics of the market place for ideas and innovation.

- e.g., the competitive framework companies operate in, how knowledge is transferred through networks and markets, the role of strategic versus legal instruments, etc.

- It does, however, provide building blocks for prioritizing the topics and framing data collection and empirical efforts. **

** see Clayton and Mitra-Kahn (2010), mimeo, UK Intellectual Property Office, for an example.
Logic Map of the Business Innovation Process
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