

© 2014 The Population Council. All rights reserved.

With the exception of fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, this content may not be reproduced, stored, or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior permission in writing from the Population Council.

Contact: publications@popcouncil.org

This presentation may contain materials owned by others. User is responsible for obtaining permissions for use from third parties as needed.



VALIDATING INDICATORS OF MATERNAL HEALTH CARE: RESULTS FROM KENYA & MEXICO

Ann Blanc, PhD Charlotte Warren, MEd

Woodrow Wilson Center December 1^{rst}, 2014

Study Objective

To validate women's recall of care received during labor, delivery and immediate post-partum.

Comparison of facility-based observation (gold standard) and women's self-report prior to discharge.



Photo by Flynn Warren, courtesy of the Population Council Tigoni District, Kenya

Participant Enrollment

- Kenya: (a) Kiambu District;
 (b) Kisumu District
- 2. Mexico: Hospital General de México, Mexico City



Target: 600 women per country, aged 15-49, admitted for delivery

Sample Descriptives

		Kenya (%) (N=666)	Mexico (%) (N=600)	Marsabit West Pokot
Age	15-19	14.5	27.2	Trans EM Nicoa Baringo
	20-24	42.0	36.3	Vision Laidopa Meru
	25-29	28.9	19.2	Homa Bay Kain Control
	30-34	8.5	9.7	Narok Machakos Kitui Tana River
	35-39	5.7	5.9	Kisumu Kajiado
	40+	0.4	1.6	Kiambu Nairahi ya Kite
Prior Parity	0	50.2	47.7	- IValioUI ata laveta Kwale Mombasa
(Live Births)	1	26.5	29.4	kenya
	2	14.0	14.7	
	3+	9.3	8.2	BAJA CALIFORNIA SONORA CUERTIANIA
Education	None	10.2	0.2	- NORTE Chinuahua
Level	Primary	43.6	8.4	BAJA CALIFORNA SINALOA Mexico City
	Secondary	29.2	42.3	La Paz DURANGO SLEON
	Higher	16.2	49.2	SAN LUIS TAMAULIPAS POTOSI NAVARITÉ AGUAÉCA HINTES Tampico
Marital Status	Single, never married	14.7	25.5	Pacific Ocean Guadellajara OLERETARO LA HIGH VERACRUZ
	Married	78.1	17.8	COLIMA MICHOACANT MORELOS CAMPECHE GUERRERO GUERRERO
	Living together	5.3	55.7	Mexico Oaxaca chiapas
	Separated/ widowed	2.0	1.0	

Mandera

YUCATAN QUINTANA

Turkana

Indicator Selection

- 1. Landscaping scan conducted April July 2012
 - Included indicators in use or proposed for use, population and facility-based indicators
 - Key word search of electronic databases + ancestry approach, grey literature and reports included
 - Identified 2,505 documents, 71 relevant, 285 indicators
- 2. Expert group meeting, September 2012
 - Indicators selected on basis of wide use or potential to assess critical elements of maternal and newborn care
 - 95+ indicators selected for validity testing

*Where applicable- DHS question wording used

DHS/MICS Indicators Attempted

- 1. Type of facility where gave birth*
 - Study not designed to assess
- 2. Low birthweight infant (<2,500 grams)
- 3. Person who assisted with delivery
- 4. Baby delivered by caesarean section (C/S)
- 5. When decision for C/S was made (before / after labor)*
- 6. Length of time after birth to first breastfeed (1 hour)
- 7. Infant given anything other than breastmilk in first hour

Global Core Indicators Attempted

- I. Proportion of women receiving oxytocin immediately after birth of baby
 - Project indicator: women receiving an injection, IV medication or tablets within first few minutes of delivery
- II. Proportion of women with prolonged labor
 - Project indicator: women reporting long labor time (>12 hrs)
- III. Proportion of newborns who received all 4 elements of essential newborn care.
 - Project indicators: immediately dried + skin to skin + breastfed in first hour
 - *Women not asked about delayed cord clamping (4th component)

Validation Analysis

1. Individual Level:

Sensitivity and specificity analysis
Area under receiver operating curve (AUC) used to summarize individual-level accuracy.
0 – 1 scale, >0.6 benchmark



2. Population Level:

- Estimated prevalence that would be obtained using population-based survey methods (Vecchio et al., 1996)
- Inflation factor (IF)- ratio of estimated survey-based prevalence to true population prevalence (Campbell et al., 2008)
- 0.75 < IF < 1.25 benchmark



- i. Validated Indicators
- ii. Indicators Not Recommended
- iii. 4 Key Indicators In Depth
 - Type of facility
 - Skilled birth attendance
 - Uterotonic for PPH
 - Newborn thermal care (skin-to-skin)

Indicators that Met Both Criteria

Indicator	In DHS?	Individual Level (AUC)	Population Level (IF)
Provider takes urine sample at admission		MX	MX
Injection or IV medication given during labor, before birth		MX	MX
Hemorrhage		MX	MX
Blood products given		MX	MX
Episiotomy		MX	MX
Cesarean operation		KY	KY
Main provider delivery -nurse/midwife		KY	KY
Support person present during birth		KY	KY
Newborn low birthweight (<2,500g)*		KY	KY

* Women given card/bracelet with gram weight, although analysis restricted to women who recalled

Indicators: Met Population-Level Criteria (IF)

Indicator	In DHS?	Kenya	Mexico
HIV status checked		NA	Yes
Blood pressure taken		Yes	NA
Skilled main provider		Yes	NA
Main provider - doctor or medical resident		No	Yes
Injection or medication received 1-3 minutes after delivery		NA	Yes
Palpitates uterus after delivery of placenta		No	Yes
AMSTL: uterotonic, cord traction, uterine massage		NA	Yes
Baby placed with mother immed. following birth		Yes	No
3 elements of newborn care: baby immed. dried, skin-to-skin*, breastfed in first hour		Yes	NA
In first post-delivery exam, provider: checks for bleeding, examines perineum, takes blood pressure checks for involution (each separately)		Yes *for involution only	Yes
Woman receives pain relief medication		No	Yes

*2 item indicator

Ē

Indicators: Met Individual-Level Criteria (AUC)

Indicator	In DHS?	Kenya	Mexico
Induces labor with uterotonic		Yes	No
Augments labor with uterotonic		Yes	Yes
Injection or medication received to bring on or strengthen labor (induction or augmentation)		Yes	Yes
Blood pressure taken		Yes	NA
Skilled main provider		Yes	NA
Main provider - doctor or medical resident		Yes	No
Episiotomy		Yes	Yes
Breastfeeding in first hour after birth		Yes	Yes
In first post-delivery exam, provider: checks temperature and blood pressure (each separately)		Yes	No
Woman receives pain relief medication		Yes	No
Hemorrhage		Yes	Yes
Eclampsia		NA	Yes
Prolonged labor (>12 hours)		Yes	NA
No complications		Yes	Yes

Indicators Not Recommended

SELF-REPORT

Kenya	% Don't Know
Did the health provider wash his/her hands with soap and water or use antiseptic before examining you?	30
Was your baby wrapped in a towel or cloth immediately after birth?	21
In your first physical examination after delivery, did a health provider do a perineal exam?	10
Mexico	
Did anyone give you a medication called 'oxytocin' to make your womb contract or become firm?	37
While you were at the facility, did anyone test you for HIV?	23
Did your baby have anything besides breastmilk to eat or drink in the first hour after delivery?	21

• In KY, receiving C/S associated with not knowing if the baby was wrapped in a towel after birth (OR 2.7 \pm 1.1, p<0.02), or immediately dried (OR 15.3 \pm 4.8, p<0.01)

Validation Results for 4 Key Indicators



- i. Type of facility
- ii. Skilled birth attendance

Photo by Flynn Warren, courtesy of the Population Council Tigoni District, Kenya

- iii. Uterotonic for PPH
- iv. Newborn thermal care (skin-to-skin)

Type of Facility

 Both aspects of DHS and MICS methodologycategorical response and specific facility names- are important in capturing selfreported information on the type of facility (MX data).



Box 1A. Descriptive frequencies: Type of facility.

Can you tell me the type of facility where you gave birth to your baby? (Self'-report)

	Number	Percent
Public Sector		
Govt. hospital	380	85.2
Govt. clinic/health center	7	1.6
Govt. health dispensary	0	0
Other public sector	11	2.5
Private Sector		
Private hospital	45	10.1
Private clinic	3	0.7
Private maternity home	0	0
Other private sector	0	0
Total Reported in Categories	446	100

Box 1B: Woman not able to determine whether private or public but specified facility details.

	Number	Percent
Facility name (Hospital General de		
Mexico)	120	83.3
Hospital and public/ govt. type	5	3.5
Hospital (other)	13	9.0
Other facility detail (location, level, etc.)	6	4.2
Total Specified	144	100

Photo of Hospital, Mexico City, Mexico



Self-Report

Skilled Provider Delivery

Doctor, Medical Resident, Nurse/Midwife (Kenya)

	No	Yes	Total	Sensitivity	95
No	7	30	37	Specificity	15
Yes	39	568	607	AUC	0.55
Total	46	598	644	IF	1.02

Observations

- High prevalence of skilled attendance during delivery (KY & MX)
- In Kenya, low specificity, AUC not met
- IF close to 1- may be suitable for generating population-based coverage estimates, not for individual level classification (KY)
- In Mexico- not sufficient variation to assess, but similar story

Main Provider Delivery

Nurse/Midwife (Kenya)

	No	Yes	Total		Sensitivity	86
No	93	72	165		Specificity	73
Yes	33	450	483	-	AUC	0.80
Tatal	126	500	619		IF	0.93
Total	120	522	040			

Observations

- In Kenya- nurse/midwife most common (81% observed prevalence)
 - High sensitivity and specificity, both validation criteria met (above)
- In Mexico- doctor/medical resident most common (97%)
 - High sensitivity, low specificity only population-level criteria met

Skilled Birth Attendance: Summary

- Nurse/midwife as main provider during delivery may be validly reported in areas where provider type is common
- Some evidence less common providers are less accurately reported
 - Student nurse did not meet either criteria, low sensitivity (KY)
- Composite indicators of skilled attendance met population-level criteria
 - Skilled provider (KY)
 - Doctor / medical resident (MX)
 - High false positive rate at individual level

Uterotonic for PPH (Y/N)

Composite indicator constructed from self-report, "In the first few minutes after the delivery of your baby, did anyone give you... (1) an injection in thigh or buttock, (2) medication through tube in arm, or (3) tablets (in mouth or rectum)."

		No	Yes	Total
2	No	1	17	18
	Yes	6	538	544
	Total	7	555	562

Observations

Reported %	97
True %	99

Self-Report

- KY results presented, but similar story in Mexico
- Nearly all women received uterotonic after delivery
- Most women report receiving a uterotonic, robust analysis limited by lack of variation

Uterotonic for PPH- Timing

- Injection/medication after placenta did not meet criteria in either country
- Indicator on if received within 3 minutes of birth met IF criteria in Mexico
- In Kenya, not able to be robustly assessed, but low specificity in cross tab results (below)



- Taken together, women may be able to report on some aspects of if a uterotonic was received
- Remaining question do women understand what injection was for?

Uterotonic for PPH- Oxytocin

- Oyxtocin was uterotonic administered to nearly all women, via IV line.
- High 'DK' indicator (37%) (MX)
- Of women who reported receiving medication by IV line after delivery, 70% (125/177) also reported receiving oxytocin.
- 30% reported no oxytocin and of those nearly all were observed to receive it.

Mexico

Cross-tabulation (Self-Report): Uterotonic for PPH and oxytocin.

Q1. In the first few minutes after the delivery of your baby, did anyone give you... (1) an injection in the thigh or buttock? (2) medication intravenously through a tube in your arm? (3) tablets to swallow or hold in your mouth or placed in your rectum?

Q2. Immediately after the birth of your baby or sometime before the delivery of the placenta, did anyone give you a medication called oxytocin? (*<u>constructed in analysis</u>*)

	Self-Report (Number) Q2.						
t. 21.		No oxytocin	Yes oxytocin	Total			
sport er) Q	Injection	9	21	30			
lf-re mb€	IV line	52	125	177			
Se (Nu	Tablet	0	1	1			
	Total	61	147	208			

Not all women can report on receiving oxytocin by name

Self-Report: Skin to Skin Indicator

- Did someone place the baby on your chest, against your skin immediately after delivery? Yes, No
- 2. Was your baby wrapped in a towel while lying against your chest or naked against your skin? Wrapped in cloth, Naked on skin

		Wrapped in cloth	Naked on skin	Total
No sk	ot placed on in	351	71	422
Ye sk	s placed on in	148	26	174
To	tal	499	97	596

<u>Ч</u>.

 85% of women who said "yes" to Q.1 then said baby was wrapped in cloth while lying against chest, <u>not</u> naked against skin

Summary

Of DHS/MICS & WHO-PMNCH indicators, 3 met both validation criteria:

- Main provider during delivery was a nurse/midwife (KY)
- Infant was low birthweight (<2,500 grams) (KY)
- Cesarean section was performed (KY)

Skilled birth attendance – may produce valid estimate at population level

- At individual level, women tend to overestimate qualifications of provider, but at aggregate level, cancels out
- Finer provider distinctions may be less clinically meaningful (e.g., doctor vs. medical resident)

Summary

Validity of some indicators highly dependent on context & wording

- •E.g., aspects related to timing, sequence of events, names of terms ('primary' vs. 'other' provider, HIV vs. HPV)
- •Two-step questions may improve reporting
 - Evidence from skin-to-skin and institutional delivery indicators

One context that influences reporting is C/S status:

Associated with high "DK" for some immediate postpartum events
C/S women often do not receive same postpartum care

Remaining Questions

Near universal practices may be validly reported, but full analysis limited

- •Tendency for false positive reports when few 'true negative' cases
- •Unclear if high sensitivity due to facility bias
- •E.g., Potential for uterotonic for PPH (Y/N), but not timing of intervention

 To explore further are currently conducting follow-up study



Photo by Flynn Warren, courtesy of the Population Council Tigoni District, Kenya

Phase 2: Follow-up Interview

Activity 1:

Re-interview baseline participants who consented to follow-up (n=606) regarding the care received ~ 1 year prior.

- 1) Assess validity of self-report at follow-up: compare women's self report- at follow-up with observer report at baseline
- 2) Assess reliability of women's self-report over time: compare self-report at re-interview to her self-report at baseline

Activity 2:

Qualitative interviews among random sub-sample of participants (n=20) to gain insight into: (1) women's understanding of questions asked, and (2) what factors may influence reporting.

Research Team

PC New York Ann Blanc Saumya RamaRao Kate McCarthy



Photo by Uri Carrasco, courtesy of the Population Council

PC Kenya

Charlotte Warren James Kimani Charity Ndwiga Jackline Kivunaga Brian Mdawida **PC Mexico** Claudia Diaz Karla Berdichevsky Tahilin Karver

Ideas. Evidence. Impact.



The Population Council conducts research and delivers solutions that improve lives around the world. Big ideas supported by evidence: It's our model for global change.