

Stakeholder Discourse in the Nile Basin By Dr. Patricia Kameri-Mbote

Presented at

The 758th Wilton Park conference on Environment, Development & Sustainable Peace: Finding Paths to Environmental Peace making

16-19 September, 2004



Outline

- Introduction
- Nile Basin
- Observations
- Nile Basin Discourse
- Lessons Learnt
- Message for Development Partners





Introduction

- Need for co-operation between states as well as between basin users
 - Different states with different interests
 - Different groups of people with different needs (some competing)
 - Potential for conflict in use and management
- International law a basis for negotiation of rules to govern transboundary watercourses
 - Developed over time; state-centric
- Two agreements with respect to the Nile
 - 1929 Nile Water Agreement & 1959 Agreement for the Full utilization of the Nile gave Egypt & Sudan extensive rights over the Nile waters
 - Challenges on equity grounds & negotiated during colonial period
 - Countries challeng these agreements & some denounced them at independence



Nile Basin

- Nile Basin covers 10 countries:
 - Ethiopia, Eritrea, Egypt, Sudan, Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania, DRC, Rwanda, Burundi
 - An area of about 3 million square kilometres.
- Many people dependent on Nile basin for subsistence & economic activities
- The Nile Basin Initiative a cooperative arrangement for management of the Basin (cooperation Vs. Conflict)
 - Developed over the years and formally established in 1999
 - Countries working together to develop the Nile resources for the benefit of all
 - Context: A long legacy of mutual recriminations, regional conflict, drought and other problems



Nile Basin (2)

- Initially cooperation around scientific information sharing
 - The shared vision of the Nile Basin Initiative is "To achieve sustainable socio-economic development through equitable utilization of, and benefit from the common Nile basin water resources"
- NBI has comprehensive programme for development of the basin in a sustainable and equitable way thro' its institutional organs:
 - The Council of Ministers (Nile-COM)
 - The Technical Advisory Committee (Nile-TAC)
 - The Nile Basin Initiative Secretariat (Nile-Sec)
- Working on a cooperative legal framework to guide activities
 - Agreement not yet finalised but is ultimate aim
- The NBI has two main programmes:



Nile Basin (4)

- <u>1. The Shared Vision Programme (to help create an enabling environment for action on the ground)</u>
 - Covering all riparian states
 - Dealing with regional power trade, water resources planning, confidence building and stakeholder participation, socio-economic development and benefit-sharing among others.
- <u>2. Subsidiary Action Programme (Sub-basin projects)</u> involving specific groups of riparian countries categorised into two:
 - <u>Eastern Nile Subsidiary Action Programme (ENSAP)</u>
 - Ethiopia, Eritrea, Egypt, Sudan
 - <u>Nile Equatorial Lakes Subsidiary Action Programme (NELSAP)</u>
 - Sudan, Egypt, Burundi, Rwanda, Uganda, DRC, Tanzania & Kenya
- These programmes are geared to reach the lowest appropriate level Principle of subsidiarity



Nile Basin (5)

- Aim at poverty reduction, economic development, reversal of envtl degradation
- Search for win-win opportunities between riparian countries
 - Water resource & water-shed management
 - Envtl & natural resource management
 - Food security
 - Flood preparedness
 - Power & infrastructure



Observations

- NBI developed at very high political level
 - Process towards a cooperative framework fragile & threatened by mistrust, conflict in some states etc
- NBI predicated on view that Nile waters constitute a major and vital resource for the people of the basin countries
 - Governments cannot go it alone and must include other stake holders such as civil society
- All riparian states' ministries responsible for water participating in NBI
 - Great achievement given sense of alienation of some actors by others
- No direct involvement of groups outside the government departments



Nile Basin Discourse

- The Nile Basin Discourse initiated to bring the voices of stakeholders to the process of the development of the Nile basin
- It seeks to:
 - Promote broad-based open dialogue, discussion and sharing of views on development in the Nile basin mainly thro' NBI between:
 - All role players
 - Stakeholders and affected parties
 - 2. Develop a database of stakeholders
 - 3. Facilitate interaction between stakeholders
 - 4. Catalyse national discourses in the 10 riparian countries
 - 5. Give space for national discourse on status of people dependent on the Nile waters



Nile Basin Discourse (2)

- 6. Bring out voices of all stakeholders, especially the poor at all levels (national, sub-national levels), CBOs & others concerned about:
 - Poverty, food security, economic and social human rights
 - Threats to livelihoods and poverty reduction posed by accelerating environmental degradation in large areas of the Nile Basin.
- Expected that the participation of a diverse array of stakeholders will:
 - Contribute to NBI's effectiveness
 - Contribute by bringing non-government views in addressing poverty, improving the livelihoods of all within the basin, and addressing insecurity and strife in the region.
- The process leading to the establishment of the discourse long and winding (Not yet out of woods)



Nile Basin Discourse (3)

- Government actors wary of engaging civil society due to political fragility of the process leading to co-operation over the Nile waters
- Civil society invited & made statement on importance of engaging stakeholders in the development of the Nile at the First Meeting of the International Consortium for Co-operation on the Nile (ICCON)
- Increasing (albeit slow) recognition of need to bring all stakeholders on board

• Structure of NBD

- 1. International Steering Committee with membership drawn for all riparian countries
- 2. A General Assembly drawn from all riparian countries which has met once
- 3. Secretariat in Entebbe, Uganda near the offices of the NBI
- 4. National Discourse Forums (NDFs)
 - Groupings around issues
 - Concern for representation of marginalised (women, youth etc)

• Achievements of NBD to date

– 1. Has NDFs in all riparian countries



Nile Basin Discourse (4)

- 2. Dialogue between civil society organizations
 - Widening web of organisations involved
- 3. Draft MOU with NBI
- 4. Developing a resource centre on the Nile basin at the desk office
- 5. Creating master database of civil society organisations with interest in the Nile

Challenges in Getting NBD Going

- 1. Leveraging resources for Discourse Desk & National Discourse Forums
 - NBD Desk at Entebbe presently struggling to survive
 - NBI programmes beginning in earnest & revised agreement being discussed
 - NDFs not engaged due to lack of finances for Discourse activities



Nile Basin Discourse (5)

- 2. Question of representation raised
 - Should involvement have been sought only after getting all stakeholders on board?
- 3. Given open nature of dialogue and involvement of diverse entities, how does it:
 - (a) Put in place an agenda not captured by interests of powerful groups?
 - (b) Create demand for involvement by empowering local groups?
- 4. Legal nature of forums (comprised of groups and individuals) has implications for capacity to impact on policy and engage government
 - Which sector to involve? Environment? Security? Development?
- 5. Articulation between the NBD Desk & NDFs
 - Can/should NDFs seek resources and move even as NBD is struggling?
 - Impacts on nature of Discourse as basin-wide?



Lessons Learnt

- Stakeholder participation in the management of Nile facet of procedural rights in the environmental rights realm
 - Outlined in Principle 10 of the Rio Declaration & many national envtl laws
 - Access to information by all
 - Public Participation in decision-making
 - Freedom of association
 - Access to justice
- Provision for envtl rights assumes availability of information & access to the information
 - Not the case for many of the countries
- Need for multi-faceted approach
 - Water related to other resources such as land (pasture, agric, occupation)
 - Land rights for individuals & groups critical beyond sovereign rights of nation



Lessons Learnt

- Agreement at state level but cooperation involves diverse actors and states must provide the space for cooperation
 - Existence of structural violence not conducive to cooperation
 - Non-involvement of stakeholders can diminish gains made at interstate level
- Process of engendering stakeholder participation requires nurturing
 - It is essentially political and amenable to capture by interest groups
 - Need to build trust among actors
 - Feeling of commonality of interest
 - Convergence of interests among actors



Message for Development Partners

- Support to basin-wide initiatives such as NBI laudable & should be sustained
- Support for civil society engagement in basin –wide initiatives to be in tandem with basin-wide initiative support
 - 1. CS much weaker compared to government
 - 2. Enable to demand access to benefits negotiated at inter-state level
 - 3. To secure investment in basin-wide initiative
- Need for coordination among different funding institutions to create synergy in different sectors & have cooperation over water really be a catalyst for peace



Thank you

 For more information: Visit NBI & NBD websites: <u>www.nilebasin.org</u> & www.nilediscourse.org