





- Brazil's current political phase: strong activism in industrial policies
- Dilma has put emphasis on national / state control in strategic areas
  - ex: telecom, O&G, infrastructure, health industry etc
- Some criticism has emerged, but public opinion continues to support Dilma's macro strategies
  - only 19% consider her government as bad
- 2014 election has already started and Dilma is responding to:
  - Domestic constituencies
  - PT demands



### GREATER BRAZIL PLAN: INNOVATION TO COMPETE, COMPETE TO GROWTH

	2004-2008	2008-2010	2011-2014				
	PITCE	PDP	BRASI				
PRIORITY	DIMENSIONS:	<b>BNDES:</b> budget: BRL 94 bi <b>FINEP:</b> expansion of programmes and credit					
	<b>ves for investment and innovation</b> iding and legal framework	<b>Foreign trade</b> Exports exoneration, funding and guarantees; Commercial defense and promotion	Defend national industry and domestic market Government procurement, special regime for auto industry, relief on payroll charges and harmonization of funding policies				

→ DILMA HAS SHIFTED INDUSTRIAL POLICY ORIENTATION FROM INNOVATION AND FOREIGN TRADE TOWARD DOMESTIC PRODUCTION



- During Lula's 1<sup>st</sup> term, Brazil proposed that UN regulate internet (with Chinese support)
- Brazil has also strongly advocated for open source technologies
- but after initial consultations Brazil has given up, considering potential damage for the Brazilian market and companies



- Considering the Brazilian context, ITC sector had been dealing quite well with government demands for innovation, investments and national content
- National champion and others policies have impacted the way companies must approach Brazil but have had limited effect on the underlying ITC market
- Market size and dynamic were strong arguments in favor of moderate policies
  - USD 123 (IT in 2012) and USD 233 billion (ITC in 2012)
- Brazil's "Internet Civil Law" has been an open and democratic debate
  - parliament and industry are deep involved



- NSA scandal has broken the balanced dialogue with Brazilian government
- Dilma's overreaction has expanded the effects of diplomatic crisis to other fields as: defense (Boeing), trade (US meeting), etc
- There is no consensus over Dilma's demands (neither within executive branch)



- Three issues are on the table:
  - 1. New global internet governance
  - 2. Stated owned communication system
  - **3.** Datacenters and database location requests



### **1.** New global internet governance (Brazilian proposal)

- UN and others multilateral arenas must regulate and govern internet
- ICANN works under US law and interest "it is captured by US companies"
- Proposal based on Brazilian Model (CMI Internet Management Committee):
  - Multistakeholder (government, NGOs, companies and universities)

Necessity of Multilateral Mechanisms to guarantee:						
Freedom, Privacy and Human Rights	Democratic and collaborative governance	Neutrality of the network	Diversity	Universality		

- **2.** Datacenters and database location requests
  - Proposal based on the requirement for privacy guarantees (EU privacy directive)
  - Very sensitive for market
  - Dilma's proposal was not included in the "Marco Civil da Internet" bill

#### **3.** Stated owned communication system

- SERPRO (state owned IT company) will provide communication system
- SERPRO 20% of the federal government comm. system (including Revenue Service System)
- It is not SERPRO expertise, so vulnerability can increase
- The issue already part of the Brazilian Defense Plan



- IT and Internet is now part of the Brazilian political agenda
- A more nationalist approach will prevail and may affect market and efficiency considerations
- Given Dilma's weak international presence, this issue can her a platform
- There will be space for ITC companies and associations to play role in discussions around these emerging models



# BRAZIL'S PROPOSALS





# BRAZIL'S PROPOSALS



**Erik Voeten** is the Peter F. Krogh Associate Professor of Geopolitics and Justice in World Affairs at Georgetown University's Edmund A. Walsh School of Foreign Service and the Department of Government.