



Washington – September 2012

Beyond GDP: the global interdependence and reduction of inequalities scenario

Carlo Sessa and Andrea Ricci ISIS





This presentation

- Scenario development context: the PASHMINA project (EU – FP7)
- Macro characterization: paradigm shifts
- The world in 2050
- How to get there: policies and drivers





PASHMINA (FP7)



Paradigm Shifts Modelling and Innovative Approaches

- Questioning the dominance of the economic growth objective (feasible? desirable?)
- Ecological economics framework (focus on the interaction between economic and ecologic systems)
- New paradigms
 - $\hat{\mathbb{U}}$
- New/enhanced tools
- New indicators







Which scenario is more credible?



747

PASHMAN, but which scenario is preferable?





New Welfare: Key Assumptions

- Unsustainability of current paradigm (limited resources, resource efficiency will be not enough)
- The GDP measure of growth is obsolete. But "beyond" GDP doesn't mean "against": we need to add something in the equation (natural, human and social capital; intangibles)
- Beyond market and government failures: more room for "new" forms of self-regulation of common resources (social innovation in education, management of ecosystems, infrastructure ...)





New Welfare Storyline

ECONOMY AND SOCIETY:

- Societal (lifestyles) + technological innovation => More consumption of services and intangibles
- New economic paradigm: natural capitalism, blue economy and the "economics of enough".
- From global unequal growth to global prosperity in a multi-polar, globally interdependent world
- Social (ICT-based) production of open knowledge and intangible products
- New global and local democracy institutions





New Welfare Storyline

PATHWAY TO LOW CARBON FUTURE:

- Reduction of (i) overall energy energy intensity
- Shift from fossil to Pressil to
- Carbo control of GHG (Carbo control of GHG) => fall of GHG

networks of compact and resilient cities collective, less individual travel, consistent nare of electromobility





Which paradigm shifts are envisioned in this scenario?







Paradigm shift in Economy From growth to prosperity beyond GDP

- Not new ! (Stuart Mill, Keynes, Daly, Jackson, Hawken, Pauli, Coyle ...)
- Rethinking production: from short-lived to longer-lasting goods, recycling and zero-waste processes (blue economy)
- Rethinking consumption and time use: from material to intangible goods and services
- Rethinking business: from profit to social entrepreneurship (social innovation to satisfy social needs; employee shareownership and participation); build local capital, markets and community ownership; limit privatization of commons







Paradigm shift in Policy New local <u>and</u> global democracy

- New global democracy networks and institutions
- Beyond human rights, recognition of "nature rights" in a global Earth and national constitutions
- Beyond voting, deliberative democracy and self-government of local common pools of resources (e.g. ecosystems, community resource development)
- Citizens' income <> citizens' duties





What will the world look like in 2050?





Macro view

	Trend Scenario snapshot 2050		Rate of change
Population	\leftrightarrow	8000 millions in 2050	Population yearly growth rates from 1'3% in 2010 to 0'5% in 2030 and 0'2% in 2050
Settlements: Urban society	\checkmark	From S0% urban population in 2010 to 45% in 2050.	3 million urban residents more per year between 2010 and 2050.
Rural land overexploitation	\checkmark	Land for bioenergy production 500 mil.ha in 2050 (4% global land)	1% decrease in agricultural land, 1% decrease in meadows and 2% increase in forests.
Technology	1	- Telemedicine - Ubiquitous computing - Countryside sensors -Precision bio farmin	2% GDP devoted to R&D investment in growing within limits nations
GDP	\leftrightarrow	- Global GDP about 30% higher in 2050 than in 2010.	0'5% GDP yearly growth rate for developed regions, 1% for developing.
Energy consumption	1	Energy yearly consumption in 2050 is one third of 2010's.	Energy intensity yearly decrease (&energy/GDP) from -0'8% in 2010 to - 2% in 2030 and to -5% in 2050
Transport	\leftrightarrow	Transport drops sharply due to locally based societies and drop in GDP	Decoupling of transport and economy
Climate change	$\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow$	World GHG emissions in 2050 are 85% lower than in 2010	Emission factors yearly decrease (Aemission/energy) from -0'3% yearly to -1% in 2030 and -3% in 2050.
Biodiversity	ተተ	Reintroduction of extinguished spices. Social awareness helps preserve environmental values.	15.000 species are recovered per year
Governance	ተተ	Networked society, bottomup governance based on human capital rather than institutions.	10 new peaceful anarchies per year between 2010 and 2050





Key indicators

VITAL SIGNS			2010	Trendline (2050)	New welfare	
Population		(milion inhabitants)	6910	9214	8360	
Settlements: Urb:	an society	(% of people living in cities)	50%	69%	62%	
Rural land overexplotation	Arable	(% over total land)	12%	12%	11%	
	Meadows	(% over total land)	26%	26%	25%	
	Forests	(% over total land)	31%	30%	33%	
	Biofuels	(% over total land)	0%	4%	4%	
	Other	(% over total land)	31%	28%	27%	
Technology		(% of R&D investement over GDP)	1,9%	2,0%	2,3%	
GDP		(2010 = 100)	100	311	296	
Wealth disparities		(GDP/capita dispersion relative to mean)	2,3	1,7	0,9	
Energy consumption		(MTOE, 2010 = 100)	100	238	112	
Transport	ansport		100	With economy	Heavily under economy	
Climate change	e change (Mtones CO2, 2010=100) 100 213		111			
Biodiversity		(% yearly change in the number of species	-0,07%	-0,08%	0,03%	
Governance		(forms of government)	93 democracies, 50 anocracies, 20 autocracies	130 democracies	110 peaceful anarchies	





Population (millions of people)



🔫 EU27 👍 Russia 🔫 Africa 📯 Asia 🛶 Latin America and Caribbean 🕂 North America —— Oceania —— World





Urban population (% over total population)



🔫 EU27 👍 Russia 🔫 Africa 📯 Asia 🛶 Latin America and Caribbean 🕂 North America —— Oceania —— World





GDP

(1000 millions of 2005 \$)



🔫 EU27 👍 Russia 🔫 Africa 📯 Asia 🛶 Latin America and Caribbean 🕂 North America —— Oceania —— World





Agricultural land use (% over total)

	Trend line		New welfare		
Landuses. Agricultural land (% over total)	2010	2030	2050	2030	2050
EU27	29%	30,33%	29,94%	28,62%	26,27%
Russia	8%	7,96%	7,86%	7,51%	6,90%
Africa	8%	8,94%	8,82%	8,43%	7,74%
Asia	17%	18,48%	18,24%	17,43%	16,00%
Latin America & Caribbean	8%	8,87%	8,75%	8,37%	7,68%
Northern America	12%	12,76%	12,60%	12,04%	11,05%
Oceania	5%	5,79%	5,71%	5,46%	5,01%
World	12%	12,40%	12,24%	11,70%	11%



Energy consumption (Mtoe)







Energy mix



	Trend line		New welfare		
World Energy Mix	2010	2030	2050	2030	2050
% of fossil	85,3%	72,0%	60,9%	70,6%	56,2%
% of oil	34,4%	24,6%	17,7%	21,2%	11,9%
% of coal	27,7%	29,2%	28,3%	29,4%	25,3%
% of natural gas	23,3%	18,1%	15,0%	20,0%	19,0%
% of nuclear	5,7%	7,1%	9,0%	4,8%	3,9%
% of renewables & biomass	9,0%	20,9%	30,0%	24,7%	39,9%



CO2 Emissions (Millions tones)





🔫 EU27 📥 Russia 🔫 Africa 💛 Asia 💛 Latin America and Caribbean 🕂 North America — Oceania — World





Which policies are needed to get there?







Key Actions

- Innovate the measurement of progress
- Develop resilience policy thinking and practice (beyond resource efficiency approaches)
- Raise collective awareness and public acceptance for technological and societal change
- Create new global (e.g. Climate Trust) and local institutions (deliberative democracy) for sustainable management of environmental commons and social justice
- Sustainable production and consumption by mimicking nature (cradle-to-cradle)
- Low carbon smart energy and transport agenda







Thank you

Carlo Sessa: mc7920@mclink.it www.isis-it.com www.pashmina-project.eu

