

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

bojer@reospartners.com +55 11 972 723 066 www.alertademocratica.org www.facebook.com/alertademocratica @Aldemocratica

Civil Society Leaders Map the Future of Democracy in Latin America

37 leaders present four scenarios about democracy in Latin America in 2030

On September 21, 2015, Alerta Democrática—a diverse group of leaders from across Latin America—will release the results of an eight month dialogue on the future of democracy in Latin America over the next 15 years.

The exercise produced four possible scenarios for Latin American democracy, varying from hopeful to alarming. Possible outcomes range from a fairer redistribution of power, strengthening of democracy, and institutional innovation to governance hijacked by organized crime and rampant corruption.

"Scenarios for the Future of Democracy in Latin America," the resulting document, will be presented at academic and social launch events in Bolivia, Brazil, Honduras, El Salvador, Peru, Guatemala, the United States, and other locations with extensive public participation.

"Latin American democracies are experiencing tense moments, but in all cases, for the first time, solutions for the tensions are coming from democracy and not against it," says Pedro Abramovay, Regional Director for Latin America and the Caribbean of the Open Society Foundations. "Understanding the risks and opportunities around those tensions is key for civil society at this moment".

The main launch event in Washington, D.C., will take place at 3:00 pm on Monday, September 21, 2015 in the Hall of the Americas at the Organization of American States (200 17th St. NW Washington, DC 20006). A delegation of seven representatives from Alerta Democratica will be available for media interviews and further meetings in Washington on September 22-24. If you would like to schedule an interview with members from the delegation, please contact Jonathan Kaplan (jonathan.kaplan@opensocietyfoundations.org).

According to Reos Partners, the company which coordinated the scenario construction exercise, the four scenarios are meant to stoke a deepening of the dialogue on democracy in Latin America and to guide future decision-making.



Alerta Democrática, Reos Partners, and their three funding organizations—Fundación Avina, Ford Foundation, and Open Society Foundations—intend for this exercise to catalyze strategic conversations with key actors at the local, national, and regional levels about the possible futures of democracy. They hope to stimulate individual and collective action in support of better governance and positive social transformation.

The initial stages of the process involved 65 conversations with various actors on the condition of democracy in Latin America. These were followed by three meetings in Brazil, Colombia, and Guatemala between December 2014 to April 2015.

The four scenarios were constructed through a reflection by multiple stakeholders of the current situation in the region and a collective mapping of possible futures. The first scenario, "Democracy in Transformation," envisions a redistribution of power, a strengthening of democracy, and institutional innovation. "Democracy in Tension" anticipates a shallow democracy: power is concentrated and disputed among different political and economic forces, generating social frustration. The scenario "Democracy in Mobilization" predicts creativity, pressure, and popular mobilization challenging traditional power structures. The final scenario, "Democracy in Agony," contemplates hijacked democracy, dominated by organized crime, and permeated by fear and a sense of defeat among citizens.



Summaries of the scenarios

Democracy in Transformation: This scenario depicts the strengthening of democracy in the region through institutional innovation. Latin America experiences widespread demand for a reassessment of its democratic institutions. This process allows the region to make progress on its most pressing structural problems. In a growing number of countries, the conditions arise for those democratic institutions to promote wide-ranging transformations in critical areas where special interests had previously dominated. Some countries show that it is possible to gradually overcome the structural inertia that had prevented the reduction of inequality and violence. In this way, they ensure that fundamental state institutions truly represent the interests of a diverse society.

Democracy in Tension: This scenario depicts a democracy of "appearances," of tension and power disputes between diverse political and economic forces, and of frustration on the part of citizens. Political and economic power is concentrated. The region is marked by a *caudillo* political culture, with patronage and authoritarian tendencies. Some countries go through political crises and, in certain cases, authoritarian setbacks, with serious consequences for institutional structures and the exercise of citizen rights. Democracy in the region has endured, but few are satisfied with the ways in which it has done so.

Democracy in Mobilization: This scenario depicts protest, pressure, and popular creativity in the face of traditional power. The forces of social mobilization consolidate their capacity to push democracy forward and gradually begin to promote transformations. The proof that individual and collective actions, more than state actions, can generate large-scale social changes that solve public problems renews the democratic model. The organization of horizontal cooperation schemes that include multiple actors leads to broader and more transparent civic empowerment. The new forms of participation that go beyond the influence of traditional institutions create real benefits. At the same time, there is an awareness of the risk that those innovations could be appropriated by illegal and undemocratic forces and that the same technologies used to promote change could end up limiting its impact.

Democracy in Agony: This scenario depicts violence, fear, feelings of defeat, and the hijacking of democracy. In much of Latin America, the predatory influence of illicit activities prevails over or coexists with government action. Corruption serves as a way of life for politicians, businesspeople, and criminals alike, all of who live and prosper in the shadow of the state. Over the course of 15 years, violence has served to consolidate certain territories out of the control of nation states. Some failed states are merely contained by the regional and international communities. The shared destiny of the democracies in the region is unstable.



Comparison of the Four Scenarios

	A. Democracy in	B. Democracy in	C. Democracy in	D. Democracy in
	Transformation	Tension	Action	Agony
Power Structure	Scenario of the redistribution of	Scenario of the concentration of	Scenario of the decentralization of	Scenario of the predominance of
	power	power	power and of the	organized crime
			questioning of	and violence
			traditional power	
Democratic	The value of	Different	Frustration with the	Institutions are
Institutions and	politics increases	phenomena, such	traditional	undermined and a consolidation of
Political Culture	and improves the	as a reconfiguration of	democratic model	
	capacity to govern with the	leadership in some	generates a permanent push	corrupt governing schemes weakens
	greatest	cases and a	forward through	democracy in
	efficiency and	caudillist tradition	strategic work in	many territories in
	transparency	in others, deepen	networks, popular	the region.
	through structural	the concentration	pressure, and the	Sophisticated
	reforms.	of power, erode	appropriation of	organized criminal
	Deepening the	institutional	new technologies	activities
	exercise of	controls and	by citizens and	penetrate the
	citizens' rights,	checks and	social movements.	state. Violence
	the institutional	balances, generate		and corruption
	mechanisms of	permanent power		spread and
	social	disputes, and		predominate,
	participation, and	influence the		criminals operate
	the fortification of the democratic	exercise of		with impunity, and authoritarianism is
	system through	democracy.		embraced.
	greater inclusion			embraceu.
	and pluralism is			
	the ultimate goal.			
Civic	Greater	"Captive votes,"	Factors such as new	The citizenry
Participation	incentives, better	reduction in	horizontal	adopts silence,
	means of	electoral	cooperation	fear, self-
	participation, and	participation,	schemes, activism	censorship, and
	greater emphasis	absence of reliable	in parallel networks,	double standards.
	on educating	information, and	and the expansion	Civic values
	citizens to rebuild	increase in	of social	decline in
	a sense of civil	mistrust toward	movements	territories and
	ethics lead to a	the public prevail.	generate pressure	provinces in the
	more a demanding		on the state, raise questions about	region.
	electorate,		voting as an	
	greater levels of		effective means of	
	participation in		influence, and	
	the traditional		engender more	
	schemes of		widespread citizen	
	representation,		empowerment and	
	and a general		collective	
	collaboration		appropriation of	
	between society		shared interests	
	and the state.		beyond the	



			traditional	
			representative	
			framework.	
Economic	Alternative	Shortsighted	Innovative models,	Given the absence
Development	models of	solutions and	including forms of	of guarantees for
and Social	sustainable	economic	local economic	inclusive growth
Inclusion	development and	efficiency above	development that	and the
	more effective	social justice and	are less dependent	inoperability of
	redistributive	environmental	on the state and	the redistributive
	politics to	equilibrium	new forms of	mechanisms of the
	overcome the	prevail. These	commercialization	state, poverty,
	structural	factors impede a	based on	inequality, and
	challenges of	more fair	sustainable and just	environmental
	poverty and	distribution of	economic models	degradation
	inequality. These	power and money.	and on work done	increase.
	factors lead to		in networks	
	greater		emerge.	
	productivity and			
	higher salaries.			
Regional	Various countries	Shortsightedness	Development of	The dynamism and
Integration	group themselves	leads to a slowing	new forms of	growth of informal
	in commercial	down of regional	exchange and	and illegal
	and political blocs	integration and to	regional territorial	mechanisms of
	that insert the	the loss of	integration and of	regional
	region on the	competitiveness	new agendas for	integration exceed
	global map with	with other regions	multilateral bodies	the slow advance
	greater force.	in the world.	through the	of support for
			influence of	regional accords
			networks.	and shared
				solutions.



The Scenario Team

Pedro Abramovay

Regional Director for Latin America and the Caribbean
Open Society Foundation

Naschla Aburman

President of the Student Federation Universidad Católica de Chile (FEUC)

Laura Albornoz Pollmann

Academic from the Law School Universidad de Chile

Ivana Bentes

Professor and Researcher in Social Communication Universidad Federal de Río de Janeiro, Brazil

Nabil Bonduki

Cultural Secretary City of Sao Paulo, Brazil

Luis Javier Castro Lachner

Managing Partner, Mesoamerica, and President La Asociación Empresarial para el Desarrollo, Costa Rica

Óscar Chacón

Executive Director La Alianza Nacional de Comunidades Latinoamericanas y Caribeñas (NALACC)

Wálter Delgadillo Terceros

Bolivian Citizen

Nilcéa Freire

Representative in Brazil Ford Foundation

Rossana Fuentes Berain

Funder

México Media Lab S21

Manuel Garrido

Deputy

National Chamber of Deputies, Argentina

María Beatriz (Pilu) Giraudo

President

La Asociación Argentina de Productores en Siembra Directa (Aapresid)

Nega Gizza

Lecturer and Presenter Central Unica das Favelas (CUFA), Brazil

Gustavo Gorriti

Director

IDL-Reporteros, Peru

Carlos Hernández

President

Junta Directiva de la Asociación para una Sociedad Más Justa, Honduras

Miguel Lago

Founding Partner Meu Rio, Brazil

Juan Pablo Luna

Associate Professor

El Instituto de Ciencia Política, PUC-Chile

Otilia Lux de Cotí

Executive Director

El Foro Internacional de Mujeres Indígenas, Guatemala

Carlos March

Director of Strategic Communication Avina Foundation

María Consuelo Mejía Piñeros

Director

Católicas por el Derecho a Decidir A.C., Mexico

Zulia Mena

Mayor,

Municipio de Quibdó, Chocó, Colombia

Carlos Hugo Molina

Rector

La Universidad Nacional Ecológica, Bolivia

Ricardo Morel

Vice President of Corporate Affairs Minera Antamina, Peru

Nohra Padilla Herrera

President

La Asociación Nacional de Recicladores de Colombia (ANR)

Susel Paredes Piqué

Attorney, Activist, and Political Leader Peru

Claudia Paz y Paz

Former Attorney General

Guatemala



Escenarios para el futuro de la democracia en América Latina 2015—2030

Thamy Pogrebinschi

Senior Reseacher, WZB Berlin Social Science Center Professor, Political Science El Instituto de Estudios Sociales y Políticos de la Universidad del Estado de Río de Janeiro

Miguel Pulido

Founding Executive Director Centro de Análisis e Investigación, A.C., Mexico

Antonia Rodríguez

Executive Director La Asociación Artesanal Boliviana Señor de Mayo (ASARBOLSEM)

María Paula Romo

Politician, University Professor, and Leader of Movimiento Ruptura Ecuador

Eugenio Scarpellini

Bishop El Alto Diocese, Bolivia

Schuma Schumaher

Feminist Activist, Writer, and Executive Coordinator La Rede de Desenvolvimento Humano (Redeh), Brazil

Alexander Segovia

President

Instituto Centroamericano de Investigaciones para el Desarrollo y el Cambio Social (INCIDE), El Salvador

Jorge Soto

Deputy Director for Civic Innovation and Coordination of Digital Strategy Mexico

León Valencia Agudelo

Executive Director La Fundación Paz y Reconciliación, Colombia

Edwin Vásquez Campos

General Coordinador Las Organizaciones Indígenas de la Cuenca Amazónica (COICA)

Jean Wyllys

Deputy in the Chamber of Deputies Brazil

Design, organization, facilitation, systematization, and project management

Reos Partners

Funders

Avina Foundation Ford Foundation Open Society Foundation