Regional Environmental Protection: The Hong Kong-Guangdong Experience

> Carlos Wing-Hung Lo The Hong Kong Polytechnic University Yok-Shiu F. Lee University of Hong Kong

Environmental pollution respects no national boundaries

- Air
- Water
- Waste
- Regional collaboration in environmental protection – a road to regional and global sustainable development

The Continuum of Cross-Border/Regional Environmental Governance

Zero	Full-scale and
collaboration	integrated
	collaboration

- Different types/models of cross-border environmental governance:
 - scope
 - structure
 - mode
 - level
- **The selection of model of environmental collaboration**:
 - the nature of the pollution problem (scale and urgency)
 - the background of the countries concerned (social, economic, and political)
 - policy orientation (remedial, proactive, or sustainable)
- Factors affecting the effort of collaboration
 - priority of pollution control
 - resource situation
 - the perception on sovereignty

Cross-border/Regional Environmental Governance: Dimensions of Collaboration

	Minimal	Maximum
Basis of collaboration	mutual understanding	legal treaty
Scope	single issue	comprehensive
Structure	informal agreement	Highly institutionalized structure
Mode	ad hoc	permanent
Level	loose and broad principle	policy and implementation

Transboundary Collaboration - 1

- Cross-border collaboration: the limits of unilateral effort in the effective control of environmental pollution
- Major practices
 - US-Canada: International Joint Commission
 - US-Mexico:
 - La Paz Agreement, 1983
 - □ NAFTA, 1993
 - EU: Integrative Regional Environmental governance

Major Arrangements for Cross-border/Regional Environmental Governance - 1

	EU: Integrative Regional Environmental Governance	US-Canada: International Joint Commission	US-Mexico: La Paz Agreement signed in 1983; North American Free Trade Agreement in 1993
Policy ideology	Sustainable development	Resource management	Remedial; later sustainable development
Policy structure	Common and comprehensive environmental policy	Progressive & flexible substantive policy objectives	Different environmental policies
Policy content	A legal regime for pollution control	"Eco-system" approach to resource management	Administrative measures with transnational agreements

Major Arrangements for Cross-border/Regional Environmental Governance - 2

Governance structure	Permanent and highly institutionalized	Permanent and institutionalized	Nested institutional approach, with some permanent institutions
Policy instruments	Regulatory control and economic measures	Information collection and dissemination and public participation	Regulatory control with important coordination role
Implementation	Lack of a transboundary Implementation mechanism	Lack of authority to enforce agreement and implement action programmes	Enforcement mechanism in place (CEC)
Performance	Greater policy integration with limited implementation effect	Common fact finding depoliticize sensitive & controversial bilateral issues	Limited integration between environment, social and economic issues, resulted in <i>ad hoc</i> efforts and limited effectiveness

Transboundary Collaboration - 2

- Prevailing trends in transboundary collaboration
 - broadening of the scope of collaboration
 - the growing emphasis on the preventive approach instead of remedial control
 - the greater use of a legal mechanism
 - the wider adoption of economic instruments
 - the increasing institutionalization of collaborative effort

Transboundary Collaboration - 3

Performance

- Positive results at the policy level in drawing attention to controlling cross-border pollution, building institutional capacity, facilitating exchange of information, and promoting policy coordination
- Limited performance: the implementation effect has been on the whole quite limited with state sovereignty as the major source of institutional constraints for actualizing joint regulation.



Hong Kong – Guangdong Province

- Call for cooperation: rapid deterioration of the quality of environment in the Pearl River Delta Region
- Water and air pollution
- Initial efforts:
 - joint EIA, water pollution, air quality monitoring network,
- Progress: slow
 - the cooperation remaining largely *ad hoc* in nature
 stopping mostly at the policy consultation level

Characteristics of the two Jurisdictions

	Hong Kong	Guangdong
Public administration	Formalized bureaucracy; rule oriented regulatory culture	Informal, flexible and politicized bureaucracy; instrumetalized by privileged interests
Law	Common law and justice for all	Socialist civil law and law for each case
Policy making	Executive-led with public consultation and participation	Top down with very limited public participation
Environmental pollution	Long history and geographically quite widespread: Air, water, solid waste, and noise	Long history and geographically very widespread: Air, water, noise, solid waste, and ecological degradation
Environmental awareness	high-medium	medium-Low
Economic structure	Highly developed and service economy, globalized and modernized enterprises	Developing industrial economy, dominated by internal market and traditional small and medium enterprises

Current Status of Cross-border Cooperation between Hong Kong and Guangdong

Basis	One country two systems
Scope	Tend to be comprehensive
Structure	Formal consultative structure
Mode	ad hoc
Level	Policy consultation level
Performance	Limited achievements

• Policy realities:

- Policy makers in both sides of the border: increasingly sustainable development concerns in the region
- Aware of rapid degradation of regional environmental quality and accelerated depletion of regional natural resources
- Lack of well-coordinated efforts for effective environmental protection on a regional basis
- Greater local political pressure for managing cross-border environmental problems
- HK: the establishment of an unit at the Deputy Director level to take charge of transboundary environmental protection
- GD: lack of incentive for managing pollution in a crossborder perspective
- SEPA: greater attention to cross-border pollution and environmental management between HK and GD

- Policy domain: Cross-border environmental policy between Hong Kong and Guangdong Province
 - What are the primary functions of and institutional constraints faced by government agencies charged with the responsibility of cross-border environmental protection?
 - What feasible policy approaches could be identified to help introduce an innovative cross-jurisdictional institutional mechanism for the purposes of strengthening cross-border environmental protection activities?
 - To what extent, and how, could concerned stakeholders such as the Hong Kong SAR government and local green NGOs be involved in region-wide environmental protection decision-making processes?

HK-GD transboundary collaboration

- Opportunities
- Constraints
- Prospects

HK-GD transboundary collaboration: The directions

- Basis of governance: Legal treaty
- Policy ideology: a common policy ideology of sustainable development
- Policy structure: One cross-border environmental policy
- Policy content: A set of cross-border legal regulations
- Governance structure: A cross-border permanent governance structure
- Policy instruments: Regulatory and market instruments
- Process: Public participation
- Implementation: A cross-border implementation structure with enforcement power