Police reform in Mexico: progress, setbacks and the way forward

Woodrow Wilson Center February 12th, 2013

Juan Salgado

Professor-researcher

CIDE, Legal Studies Department

Structure of the presentation

 Reform of the Mexican federal police bodies 2000-2012.

Setbacks and structural limitations.

• The way forward.

- State institutions and police reform
- Legislative: normative reforms.
- Executive: security policy and operational reform (policing).
- Judiciary: adjudication process (criminal law system) and setting case law.

Police bodies in Mexico (aprox. 450,000 officers)

Institution	%
Federal (PF + PFM or AFI)	9
State (preventive)	43
State (investigative)	6
Municipal (only preventive)	42
Total	100

Source: Mexican Public Security Department, 2012.

Normative reforms

- National Public Security System Act, amended 2008.
- Anti-Organized Crime Act, passed 2008.
- Federal Police Act, amended 2008.
- Constitutional amendments regarding criminal law reform, passed 2008.
- Anti Small-scale Drug Dealing Reforms, passed 2008.

Policy framework

•Priority on engaging public force in an all-out combat of drug cartels, instead of focusing on risk factors and crime prevention.

•'Open season' on druglords, sidelining other important organized criminals.

•Extensive use of Armed Forces in public security tasks whilst police forces would 'get reformed'.

Operational framework

- Professionalization: recruiting, training, police career, promotions, police development.
- Deployment: nationwide presence of federal officers.
- Improved equipment and investment in technological developments against crime.
- Development of Systematic Operational Procedures for sworn officers.

The Judiciary

- Until 2011 the Supreme Court could trigger factfinding procedures in cases of police abuse. In two cases (Atenco and Oaxaca) the Court failed to held high-rank police officers accountable for extreme human rights abuse.
- Minimal adjudication of police abuse cases, exceptions: Acteal (Chiapas) and the Florence Cassez case.

Structure of the presentation

Advance in police reform 2000-2012.

Setbacks and structural limitations.



• The way forward.

Setbacks and structural limitations

- Lack of specific timeline and regulations on the participation of Armed Forces in public security / anti-narcotic tasks.
- Police reform efforts did not achieve proper regulations on the use of force.
- No institutional incentives for the respect of civil rights, particularly regarding detention and due process.
- Technological developments did not necessarily lead to their intelligent use.

Setbacks and structural limitations

 The Systematic Operational Procedure system was normatively developed in the Federal Police, but no operational and chain-of-command endeavors were undertaken to make them real in police practice.

Some of the most notorious cases include:

- 1.Low-rank officer insubordination against mid and highranks in Ciudad Juárez (Aug 2010);
- 2.Cross-fire between corrupt police officers in Mexico City's international airport (June 2012);
- 3.Ambush of a US diplomatic vehicle in Cuernavaca (Aug 2012).

Structure of the presentation

Advance in police reform 2000-2012.

Setbacks and structural limitations.

The way forward.



The way forward – policy

- Rights-based policing. Mainstreaming and prioritizing the respect of civil rights in police operations and incentives.
- Need to transform the accountability and vetting procedures from individual (case-based) certification to institutional assessment and accreditation.
- *Federal funding for local policing* needs to focus on capacity development and crime prevention.

The way forward – institutional setting

- Internal and external controls, including effective internal auditing and civilian oversight of law enforcement agencies.
- Focus on crime prevention. New institutional capacities and setting.
- *New police reform model*. Comprising setting up a gendarmerie and another reform of the FP.
- *Enhanced professionalization*. Setting standards for police career.

The way forward – structural challenges

- Standing of the National Public Security System. It is not clear whether it may or not continue to serve as a coordination body.
- Regional approach to fight against crime. Top-down model that underscores the leadership of the federal government.
- *Centralization of decision-making*. Whether police bodies are unified in a single command or not, the regional approach privileges collaboration between federation and states.

The way forward – normative reforms

- Law enforcement model (unified command).
- National (homeland?) security.
- Amendments to the military code.
- Revision of the Act to Protect Crime Victims, especially regarding tort law.
- Federal Police Act.