CENTER on SOCIAL DISPARITIES in HEALTH



The unsolved mystery of racial disparities in infant health: Do we know enough to act?

April 4, 2007 Woodrow Wilson Center Paula Braveman, MD, MPH Professor of Family & Community Medicine Director, Center on Social Disparities in Health University of California, San Francisco www.ucsf.edu/csdh



Racial disparities in infant health at birth

- "Birth outcomes": Born too small (low birth weight) or too early (premature/preterm birth)
- Focusing on African Americans (Blacks) and European Americans (Whites)
- Current knowledge of likely causes
- Why the differences are likely to involve social factors
 - Why psychological stress could be important, particularly cumulative lifetime effects
- Policy implications



Disparities in infant health

Compared with babies born to European American ("White") mothers, babies born to African American ("Black") mothers are around twice as likely to:

- Have low birth weight (born too small)
- Be premature (born too early)
- Die in infancy



Persistent disparities: low birth weight





Born too early, too small

- Infant mortality
- Serious disability
 - Cognitive
 - Emotionalbehavioral
 - Physical
- Family burden
- Economic costs
 - Medical care
 - Special ed.
 - Social services
 - Productivity lost



(NY Times 2/27/07. Photo : Dilip Vishwanat. Article: Nicholas Bakalar)



Causes of being born too early and/or too small

Known:

- Tobacco
- Excessive alcohol
- Drugs
- Nutrition
- Short maternal stature
- Chronic disease

Suspected:

- Infections
- Environmental toxins
- Physically demanding work
- Genes/Geneenvironment interactions
- Stress (psychological)



Causes of disparities?

Not explained by:

- Tobacco
- Excessive alcohol
- Drugs
- Nutrition
- Maternal height
- Chronic disease



- Infections
- Environmental toxins
- Physically demanding work
- Genes/Geneenvironment interactions
- Stress (psychological)



Causes of disparities in being born too early or too small

- More questions than answers
- What can we learn from the patterns?
 - By income
 - By birthplace in U.S. (vs immigrant)



Ratio of low birth weight rates among Blacks vs Whites at different income levels

| Family income in relation to the federal poverty level * | Black to White ratio |
|--|----------------------|
| Poor: at or below the poverty line | 1.3 times |
| Near-poor : 1-2 times the poverty line | 1.6 times |
| Not low-income: more than 2 times the poverty line | Around 2.5 times |

California Maternal & Infant Health Assessment (MIHA), '99-'05 * During '99-'05, federal poverty level for a family of 4 was around \$17,000-\$20,000.



Disparities by birthplace

- US-born African-Americans have adverse birth outcomes
- Black African/Afro-Caribbean immigrants have relatively good birth outcomes by comparison
 - If genes were the basis, wouldn't immigrants do worse?



US-born vs immigrant patterns hard to explain by genes alone

- Healthier behaviors?
- Healthy immigrant selection?
- Stress?
 - But immigration is stressful, so difficult to explain by stress in general
 - What about type of stress (challenge vs threat)?
 - What about duration &/or timing at critical periods, e.g., childhood?
 - Resources that buffer effects of stress?
 - Optimism
 - Social support



How could stress influence birth weight and/or prematurity?

- Biologically plausible
- Physiological pathways have been documented in animals & humans, beginning with psychological stress and leading to effects on:
 - Sympathetic nervous system: Brain → epinephrine & norepinephrine
 - Neuro-endocrine pathways: Brain → adrenal glands → cortisol
 - Stress hormones could trigger diverse effects including effects on immune system leading to premature labor and/or poor fetal growth



Who has more stress?

- We studied several major psychosocial stressors experienced during pregnancy
 - Divorce/separation, job loss of partner/self, financial difficulties, food insecurity, homelessness, domestic violence, incarceration of partner/self
 - And lack of social support
- Postpartum women in California and 17 other states

Separated or divorced during pregnancy: disparities by race



California MIHA 2002-2004 (*n* =10,750)

Partner lost his job during her pregnancy: disparities by race



California MIHA 2002-2004 (n =10,750)

Food insecurity during pregnancy: disparities by race



California MIHA 2002-2004 (*n* = 10,750)

Number of hardships^{} during pregnancy:* disparities by race



Separated/divorced during pregnancy, homeless, job loss of spouse/partner, involuntary job loss of respondent, food insecurity, incarceration of respondent or her spouse/partner, domestic violence, hard to live on her family income, unpaid bills, no practical support, no emotional support,

California MIHA 2003-2004 (*n* = 7,272)



Chronic stress in childhood? Cumulative effects of stress?

- Poverty/low income is often stressful
- Higher-income/education Black women less likely than Whites to have grown up in well-off households
- Cumulative stress over lifetime
- Childhood stress or chronic stress could lead to adverse birth outcomes even if pregnancy itself is relatively stress-free
 - via neuro-endocrine dysregulation





How could your neighborhood affect your health?

- Physical danger
- Safe places to exercise
- Lead, air pollution, mold
- Access to healthy food
- Role models, peer pressure
 - Substance abuse
- Social networks & support
- Stress, fear, anxiety, despair
- Blacks & Whites of similar income levels live in different kinds of neighborhoods



Racial discrimination as a source of chronic stress across life course

- Could experiences associated with racism explain the patterns?
- Some studies have linked racism with adverse birth outcomes; some have not; are the measures –generally reflecting incidents--adequate?
- Current work to develop measures for birth outcomes research
- Our findings: considerable stress not tied to specific incidents; generalized anxiety/fear about others' reactions toward oneself or loved ones; constant vigilance; lasting impact of childhood & vicarious experiences



More questions than answers

- Many hypotheses
- Inadequately studied
- Widespread assumption that the basis for the racial differences must be bad behaviors and genes
 - Taking us somewhat off-the-hook in policy arena
- Many studies have concluded a racial difference must be genetic because the difference was still seen even after the researcher "controlled for socioeconomic status (SES)"?



A word of caution

- Beware of studies claiming to have controlled for SES (socioeconomic status)
- Studies rarely measure more than education or current income
- At a given income/education level, there are large Black : White differences in:
 - Wealth
 - Quality & rewards of education
 - Neighborhood socioeconomic conditions
 - Childhood socioeconomic conditions



To claim that a given racial disparity is independent of SES, one would have to measure all relevant socioeconomic factors

- Income
- Educational quality and quantity
- Wealth
- Occupation
- Neighborhood characteristics
- One's perception of one's status/position
- All of above throughout one's life
- That isn't possible



No study can "control for" SES

- Unmeasured wealth, educational quality, occupational factors, childhood and generational experiences, neighborhood context
- Be skeptical of studies concluding that an observed racial disparity must be genetic because the disparity was still present after they "controlled for" SES



Summing up: Where do the clues lead us?

- More biomedical research needed on environmental toxins, infections, and geneenvironment interactions
- Patterns of Black:White disparities in infant health across socioeconomic groups and by birthplace suggest that social factors –potentially experiences across the life course--play powerful roles
- Important role for stress is plausible albeit not conclusive
- Policy implications?



Do we know enough to justify action? What actions?

- More intensive action to reduce the known adverse risk factors before and during pregnancy
 - E.g., tobacco, alcohol, illicit drugs, chronic disease
 - Poverty and low education are the strongest risks
 - Increase protective factors in households and in neighborhoods
- Bold experiments testing effects of biologically plausible, promising interventions, including ones that reduce stress and increase social support (which buffers health effects of stress)





Policy implications: Bold experiments to reduce stress & increase social support

- Need for bold experiments with social factors
 - Will require bold policies
 - Multi-factorial interventions
 - Can't be conducted in test tubes or small-scale
 - But scientific rigor is essential
- Limited documentation of health effects of socioeconomic adversity during pregnancy in affluent countries
- But body of evidence on early childhood economic adversity warrants improving social and economic factors among pregnant women and infants



Unequal chances at birth

- When do we know enough to recommend policy change?
- Acting on the best available knowledge
- Costs of status quo
- Known effects of early childhood economic adversity
- Compelling economic & ethical reasons to act

